United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/777,499	02/12/2004	Jialin Zou	LUCW:0010	5262
48671 7590 06/26/2007 FLETCHER YODER (LUCENT) P.O. BOX 692289 HOUSTON, TX 77069		•	EXAMINER	
			SOBUTKA, PHILIP	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2618	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/26/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/777,499	ZOU, JIALIN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Philip J. Sobutka	2618				
- The MAILING DATE of this communication app						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	 					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	- alastian rasuiramant					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>12 February 2004</u> is/ard	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objecte	ed to by the Examiner.				
,,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summan Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) D Notice of Informal					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>6/21/2004</u> . U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/777,499 Page 2

Art Unit: 2618

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1,2,5-8,10,12-14,19,21,22,24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Amerga et al (US 2003/0013457).

Consider claim 1. Amerga teaches a device for searching signal paths comprising:

a first stage configured to sort a plurality of signal energies into one of a plurality of ordered candidate signal lists associated with one of a plurality of antennas based on the strength of the plurality of signal energies (Amerga see for example figure 2, items p120-p140); and

a second stage configured to sort the plurality of ordered candidate signal lists into a signal path list that is ordered based on the strength of the plurality of signal energies in the plurality of ordered candidate signal lists (Amerga see for example figure 5b).

Consider claim 2. Amerga teaches the device, as set forth in claim 1, wherein the first stage comprises a heapsort algorithm (Amerga see paragraph 61).

Art Unit: 2618

Consider claim 5. Amerga teaches the device, as set forth in claim 1, wherein the second stage comprises a sorting algorithm (Amerga see paragraph 61).

Consider claim 6. Amerga teaches the device, as set forth in claim 5, wherein the sorting algorithm comprises a two-level grouping algorithm (Amerga see for example figure 5b, paragraphs 19, 20,61-66).

Consider claim 7. Amerga teaches the device, as set forth in claim 6, wherein the two-level grouping algorithm comprises a lead signal sorting process that creates a lead signal list from the largest of the plurality of signal energies in each of the plurality of ordered candidate signal lists and a promotion and replacement process that replaces one of a plurality of lead signals that is placed into the signal path list with another of the plurality of signal energies from one of the plurality of ordered candidate signal lists (Amerga see for example figure 5b, paragraphs 19, 20,61-66).

Consider claim 8. Amerga teaches a base station comprising:

a plurality of radio frequency systems (Amerga see paragraphs 2-7, 18-20);

a baseband system coupled to the plurality of radio frequency systems and having a reverse link searcher configured to (Amerga, see figures 11,12, paragraphs 77-82, note that the processor would be a baseband system):

order a plurality of signal energies into one of a plurality candidate signal lists for each of the plurality of radio frequency systems based on the strength of the plurality of signal energies (Amerga see for example figure 2, items p120-p140) and

Art Unit: 2618

order the plurality of candidate signal lists into a signal path list based on the strength of the plurality of signal energies in the plurality of candidate signal lists (Amerga see for example figure 5b).

Consider claim 10. Amerga teaches the base station, as set forth in claim 8, wherein the reverse link searcher comprises a digital signal processor (Amerga, see figures 11,12, paragraphs 77-82).

Consider claim 12. Amerga teaches the base station, as set forth in claim 10, wherein the reverse link searcher comprises a two-level grouping algorithm that is a software routine utilized by the digital signal processor to order the plurality of candidate signal lists (Amerga see for example figure 5b, paragraphs 19, 20,61-66).

Consider claim 13. Amerga teaches a wireless communications system comprising:

at least one wireless unit (Amerga see figure 1);

at least one radio frequency system having a plurality of antennas adapted to communicate with the at least one wireless unit (Amerga, see for example figures 2, 5); and

a baseband system having a processor and a reverse link searcher, the reverse link searcher comprising (Amerga, see figures 11,12, paragraphs 77-82, note that the processor would be a baseband system):

a first stage configured to sort a plurality of signal energies based on the strength of each of the plurality of signal energies and create one of a plurality of candidate

Art Unit: 2618

signal lists having a plurality of ordered candidate signal energies associated with one of the plurality of antennas (Amerga see for example figure 2, items p120-p140); and

a second stage configured to sort the plurality of candidate signal lists into a path selection list based on the strength of each of the plurality of candidate signal energies (Amerga see for example figure 5b).

Consider claim 14. Amerga teaches the system, as set forth in claim 13, wherein the at least one radio frequency system communicates with the at least one wireless unit via a code division multiple access system (Amerga see paragraphs 12).

Consider claim 19. Amerga teaches the system, as set forth in claim 13, wherein the at least one wireless unit comprises a cellular telephone (Amerga see for example paragraph 7)

Consider claim 21. Amerga teaches a method of searching comprising:
sorting a plurality of signal energies into one of a plurality of ordered candidate
signal lists based on the strength of the plurality of signal energies (Amerga see for
example figure 2, items p120-p140); and

sorting the plurality of ordered candidate signal lists into a signal path list that is ordered based on the strength of the plurality of signal energies in the plurality of ordered candidate signal lists (Amerga see for example figure 5b).

Consider claim 22. Amerga teaches the method, as set forth in claim 21, comprising the act of providing the path selection list to a processor for selecting a signal path for a connection with a wireless unit (Amerga see for example figure 5b).

Application/Control Number: 10/777,499 Page 6

Art Unit: 2618

Consider claim 24. Amerga teaches the method, as set forth in claim 21, wherein the sorting the plurality of candidate signal energies into the path selection list comprises utilizing a two-level grouping-sorting algorithm (Amerga see for example figure 5b, paragraphs 19, 20,61-66).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 9,15-18, 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Amerga.

Consider claim 9. Amerga lacks a teaching of the base station, as set forth in claim 8, wherein the reverse link searcher comprises a hybrid device having a field programmable gate array and a digital signal processor.

Note that Amerga teaches a processor and notes that an array of logic elements may be included (Amerga see paragraph 77). Official Notice is taken that programmable gate arrays are notoriously well know logic elements. It would have bee obvious to modify Amerga to use programmable gates in the logic array in order to allow for easy reprogramming.

Art Unit: 2618

Consider claim 15. Amerga lacks a teaching of the system, as set forth in claim 14, wherein the at least one radio frequency system comprises a structure on which the plurality of antennas reside.

Official Notice is taken that it is notoriously well known in the art to place antennas on structures such as towers or buildings. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Amerga as shown in the claims in order to place the antenna on a high location.

Consider claim 16. Amerga lacks a teaching of the system, as set forth in claim 15, wherein the structure comprises a tower.

Official Notice is taken that it is notoriously well known in the art to place antennas on structures such as towers or buildings. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Amerga as shown in the claims in order to place the antenna on a high location.

Consider claim 17. Amerga lacks a teaching of the system, as set forth in claim .

15, wherein the structure comprises a building.

Official Notice is taken that it is notoriously well known in the art to place antennas on structures such as towers or buildings. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Amerga as shown in the claims in order to place the antenna on a high location.

Consider claim 18. Amerga lacks a teaching of the system, as set forth in claim 13, wherein the at least one wireless unit comprises at least one portable computer system.

Official Notice is taken that it is notoriously well know in the art to equip portable computers with wireless telephone units. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Amerga as shown in the claims in order to allow for the communication system to be used with computers.

Consider claim 20. Amerga lacks a teaching of the system, as set forth in claim 13, wherein the at least one wireless unit comprises a vehicle having at least one of a mobile telephone and a navigation system.

Official Notice is taken that it is notoriously well know in the art to vehicles with wireless telephone units. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Amerga as shown in the claims in order to allow for the communication system to be used with computers.

5. Claims 3,4,11 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Amerga in view of Machida (US 5,603,023).

Consider claim 3. Amerga lacks a teaching of the device, as set forth in claim 2, wherein the heapsort algorithm comprises an "n" out of "N" heapsort algorithm.

Note that Amerga teaches that any sorting algorithm can be used (Amerga see for example paragraph 61). Machida teaches an n out of N heapsort (Machida, see for

Art Unit: 2618

example columns 2-3). Machida teaches that this algorithm allows for a processor sorting at a high speed (Machida see column 1, lines 8-15) It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Amerga to use the algorithm as taught by Machida in order to allow for a processor sorting at a high speed.

Consider claim 4. Amerga lacks a teaching of the device, as set forth in claim 3, wherein the "n" out of "N" heapsort algorithm comprises a heap creation process that creates a heap structure from the plurality of signal energies and a retire and promote process that creates each of the plurality of ordered candidate signal lists (Amerga see for example figure 5b, paragraphs 19, 20,61-66).

Consider claim 11. Amerga lacks a teaching of the base station, as set forth in claim 10, wherein the reverse link searcher comprises a "n" out of "N" heapsort algorithm that is a software routine utilized by the digital signal processor to order the plurality of signal energies.

Note that Amerga teaches that any sorting algorithm can be used (Amerga see for example paragraph 61). Machida teaches an n out of N heapsort (Machida, see for example columns 2-3). Machida teaches that this algorithm allows for a processor sorting at a high speed (Machida see column 1, lines 8-15) It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Amerga to use the algorithm as taught by Machida in order to allow for a processor sorting at a high speed.

Art Unit: 2618

Consider claim 23. Amerga lacks a teaching of the method, as set forth in claim 21, wherein the sorting the plurality of signal energies into the one of the plurality of candidate signal lists comprises utilizing an "n" out of "N" heapsort algorithm.

Note that Amerga teaches that any sorting algorithm can be used (Amerga see for example paragraph 61). Machida teaches an n out of N heapsort (Machida, see for example columns 2-3). Machida teaches that this algorithm allows for a processor sorting at a high speed (Machida see column 1, lines 8-15) It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Amerga to use the algorithm as taught by Machida in order to allow for a processor sorting at a high speed.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Philip J Sobutka whose telephone number is 571-272-7887. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 8:30 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew D. Anderson can be reached on 571-272-4711.

7. The central fax phone number for the Office is 571-273-8300.

Most facsimile-transmitted patent application related correspondence is required to be sent to the Central FAX Number.

CENTRALIZED DELIVERY POLICY: For patent related correspondence, hand carry deliveries must be made to the Customer Service Window (now located at the Randolph Building, 401 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314), and facsimile transmissions must be sent to the Central FAX number, unless an exception applies. For example, if the examiner has rejected claims in a regular U.S. patent application, and the reply to the

Art Unit: 2618

Page 11

examiner's Office action is desired to be transmitted by facsimile rather than mailed, the reply must be sent to the Central FAX Number.

8. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PHILIP J. SOBUTKA
PATENT EXAMINER

Philip J Sobutka

(571) 272-7887